



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,606	08/10/2000	John C. Kralik	6536-118	7149
22878	7590	09/27/2006	EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, M/S DU404 P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			DUONG, THOI V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/635,606	KRALIK, JOHN C.
	Examiner Thoi V. Duong	Art Unit 2871

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thoi V. Duong. (3) Jacob Erlich (Reg. No. 24338).
 (2) John Kalik. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kondo (US 5,625,473).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Kondo does not have PDLC mode where the pixel region comprising a nematic/pre-polymer mixture. Applicant also considers to amend the claims to be more specific with the invention. The Examiner will reconsider the claims upon receiving an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Docket 636-118

Fax to: (571) 273-2292

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PTOL-413A (09-04)

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/635,606 First Named Applicant: Kralik, J.
Examiner: Duong, T. V. Art Unit: 2831 Status of Application: Final Office ActionTentative Participants:
(1) John Kralik (2) Examiner Duong
(3) Jacob N. Erlich (4) _____Proposed Date of Interview: Sept 19, 2006 Proposed Time: 10 (AM/PM)Type of Interview Requested:
(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>1,4-6,14-17</u>	<u>Kondo, Obi, Kawa</u> []	[]	[]	[]
(2) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>7-9,12,18-20,23</u>	<u>plus Sumiyoshi</u> []	[]	[]	[]
(3) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>10-12,21-23</u>	<u>plus Popovich</u> []	[]	[]	[]
(4)			[]	[]	[]

[] Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:
The primary Kondo reference does not describe our methodology

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Jacob N. Erlich
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

JACOB N. ERLICH
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative24,338

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.